PLANNING AND ZONING Cerro Gordo County Courthouse

220 N Washington Ave Mason City, IA 50401-3254
John Robbins, Planning & Zoning Administrator
Michelle Rush, Executive Assistant

(641) 421-3075 FAX (641) 421-3088

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Cerro Gordo County Zoning Board of Adjustment will hold a public hearing on **January 30, 2018, at 4:00 p.m.** in the meeting room of the Board of Supervisors at the Courthouse in Mason City, Iowa. Said Board of Adjustment will consider the application of RH & SH Investments, for a variance to the A-1 Agricultural District and General requirements of the Zoning Ordinance.

The request, if approved, would allow the 30'x24' detached garage to be located 7' from the house. The Zoning Ordinance requires a minimum separation distance of 10' between detached structures.

Said property is located at 23977 Claybanks Dr, Nora Springs, IA.

Any person interested in this matter may be present at this time and place to be heard thereon. Copies of this application, along with the Board of Adjustment's Policies and Procedures, may be obtained by contacting the Cerro Gordo County Planning & Zoning Administrator.

Notice dated this 12th day of January, 2018.

Jack Davis, Chairman Cerro Gordo County Zoning Board of Adjustment

Publish in the Mason City Globe-Gazette January 16, 2018

Bill & Proof of Publication to Cerro Gordo County Planning & Zoning

APPLICATION/APPEAL FORM

[For Completion by All Applicants]

Date
TO: ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CERRO GORDO COUNTY, IOWA
I(WE), Steve RHSH Investment
OF POBOX 145 ST ANSGAL IA 50472 (MAILING ADDRESS)
(MAILING ADDRESS)
respectfully request that a determination be made by the Board of Adjustment on this
Application/Appeal based on the letter written by the Zoning Administrator dated
for the reason that it was a matter which, in his/her opinion, should come before the Board of
Adjustment.
This Application/Appeal is: (Please Check One)
A Variance to a Zoning District requirement where there are unusual conditions or circumstances which cause a hardship when the provisions of Zoning are strictly applied.
$\hfill \Box$ A Special Use listed in Article 20.2 of the Zoning Ordinance upon which the Board is required to act under the Ordinance.
An Appeal where it is alleged there is error in any order, requirement, decision or determination made by the Zoning Administrator in the enforcement of the Zoning Ordinance.
The property affected is located in Section $\frac{SE/SE}{}$ of $\frac{26}{}$ portland Township.
The property affected is zoned according to the Cerro Gordo County Zoning
District Maps. Legal description of the property is:

I am the Owner Contract Pu	urchaser Other (Explain)
	of the property affected.
Describe what you are proposing to do on the p	property affected
SET of SET Section	26 postband twp
23977 Chay BANKS	Dr NORA Spligs IA
I (We) grant permission to the Planning & Zoning the above described property for purposes of re	g staff and Board of Adjustment members to enter eview.
	d, I (We) will proceed with the actual construction If any conditions and/or requirements the Board of
Signature of Applicant Styph 3 F	Jucksh 11-27-17
OFFICE	USE ONLY
Date Filed	Case Number 18-29
Date Set for Hearing 1-30-18	Fee Paid
Application/Appeal was Granted	☐ Denied ☐ Tabled

VARIANCE CRITERIA SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Cerro Gordo County Zoning Board of Adjustment [For completion by <u>Variance Applicants Only</u>]

This attachment is intended to supplement the Appeal to the Board of Adjustment Application for requests for variances. This attachment shall be submitted as a part of and attached to the Appeal Application and serve to enable the Board to make fair and equitable decisions. Failure to complete this form in its entirety may result in postponing the request until adequate information is submitted.

The Board of Adjustment shall authorize upon appeal, in specific cases, such variance from the terms of the Ordinance as will not be contrary to the public interest, where owing to special conditions a literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance will result in unnecessary hardship, and so that the spirit of the Ordinance shall be observed and substantial justice done.

The Applicant shall be held responsible to provide adequate evidence that the literal enforcement of the Ordinance will result in unnecessary hardship. "Hardship" as used in connection with the granting of a variance means the property in question cannot be put to a reasonable use if used under the conditions allowed by the provisions of the Ordinance, the plight of the landowner is due to circumstances unique to his property not created by the landowner; and the variance, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the locality.

The Board shall ensure that their decision shall not be contrary to the public interest, that the spirit of the Ordinance shall be observed, and substantial justice done.

Applicant(c)

Jpneam(3)		a tamera.		1000		
pe of Variance R	equested	JiMUM SepA	MATTON	Distance	Between Ho	use
		vimum SepA		サ	out Boilding	
	35.0	l a reasonable use				
Utilizing	Exi3ting	foundations Due To	~ To	RECONSTRUT	The GAR	ge
To MINI	Mize Expen	ses Due To	No	INSULANCE	GN The	Bul
		K)				
***					and the second second	
M/hat is unique	about this aronou	ty compared to at	·h ov nvono	ution in the citatus		
what is unique	about this proper	ty compared to of				
A 1	11	GRAND	llathera	CARMSTO	Ad	
/V/	/				(m) March (m)	

3. Explain how the variance will fit in with the character of the area (i.e., size, height, scale, etc.): Stay Agriculture
4. The need for the variance cannot be attributed to the present or past property owner for the following reasons:
5. The Zoning Ordinance requirements have resulted in a need for a variance for the following reasons: Separation Distance Ded Not Exist with previous owner & Boildy?
6. The variance is in accord with the purposes and intent of the Zoning Ordinance and Comprehensive Plan for the following reasons: Mintain use of property prior to five DAM's
7. The variance will not impair the public health, safety and general welfare of the residents of the County for the following reasons:
NO Impact on Adjoing properties
por any on majory property
I, Stephen 5 Hulsh Stephen J Hucksh certify that all of the above statements are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Case No. 18-29 RH & SH Investments (23977 Claybanks Drive) Figure 1

Looking at the garage



January 8, 2018, J. Robbins

Figure 2
Looking at the 7 foot separation between the garage and the house



January 8, 2018, J. Robbins



